

The double burden of malnutrition among Bangladeshi women: Rethinking the country's maternal and child health programs and policies

Key Highlights

- In Bangladesh, the share of ever-married women ages 15–49 who were underweight (BMI <18.5 kg/m²) declined sharply from 30% to 12% between 2007 and 2017–2018.
- Within the same period, the share of women who were overweight or obese (BMI ≥25 kg/m2) increased at a higher ratefrom 12% to 32%.
- Among the total annual 3.4 million births, 0.9 million occur to overweight women, and 0.5 million occur to underweight women. Both forms of malnutrition pose a grave risk for maternal and child health.
- Current relevant policies concerning maternal health and nutrition are fragmentary and mostly spread across the underweight spectrum of malnutrition.
- Bangladesh should revise its national policies addressing the double burden of malnutrition among the women of reproductive age across pre-conception, pregnancy, and post-natal stages to ensure optimum maternal and child health.
 - o Track and address under- and over-nutrition in adolescents
 - o Promote and ensure targeted and segmented antenatal care programs
 - o Promote and protect appropriate infant and young child feeding
 - o Discourage consumption of non-nutritious/over-processed food and drinks through marketing and other campaigns

The World Health Organization defines malnutrition as a state of disordered nutrition, in which a combination of varying degrees of overnutrition or undernutrition has led to a change in body composition, diminished function, and outcome. Because of the complex combination and varying degrees of nutrients, tackling malnutrition

requires separate intervention and a holistic plan of action.¹

Status and trend of malnutrition among women in Bangladesh

Our data relate to malnutrition among evermarried women ages 15–49. Data from the Bangladesh Demographic and Health Surveys (BDHSs) 2007² and 2017–2018 show that within a decade, the share of ever-married women ages 15–49 who were underweight (BMI <18.5 kg/m²) declined sharply from 30% to 12%. On the other hand, the share of overweight women (BMI ≥25 kg/m²) increased at a higher rate from 12% in



2007 to 32% in 2017–18.³ Thus, despite remarkable progress in reducing undernourishment among women, the share of well-nourished women (BMI = $18.5-24.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$) remains unchanged, 58% in 2007 and 56% in 2017 (Figure 1).



Figure 2. Percent of underweight and overweight among ever-married women

This shift of the dominant burden from undernutrition to overnutrition occurred around 2012–2013 (overweight/obese: BMI \geq 25) (Figure 2).

Linear projections (data not shown) indicate that about 46% of ever-married women of reproductive age will be overweight/obese by 2030 if the 2004–2017/18 overweight trend increase continues. This is because the prevalence of overnutrition among women of reproductive age increased the fastest between 2011 and 2017/18. If this recent trend holds, then the proportion of overweight/obese women would constitute up to 60% of the total women of reproductive age.

What it means in terms of numbers

Bangladesh currently⁴ comprises around 38 million ever-married women of reproductive age (15-49 years). Within this number, it is estimated that currently 17 million of these women are malnourished-5 million are underweight, and 12 million are overweight/obese (Figure 3).

This increase is across all groups

The proportion of underweight women is higher among younger women (ages 15–29 vs. ages 30–49), rural women, and those in poorer







households. In contrast, the proportion of overweight is higher among older women, those living in urban areas, and women in more affluent households. Nevertheless, underweight levels have fallen, and overweight levels have increased across younger and older women, rural and urban women, and women of all economic groups (Table 1).

Background characteristics	Underweight (%) (BMI <18.5 kg/m²)		Overweight (%) (BMI ≥25 kg/m²)					
	2007	2017–18	2007	2017–18				
Age								
Ages 15–29	31	15	8	24				
Ages 30–49	28	10	15	39				
Place of Residence								
Urban	20	9	29	43				
Rural	33	13	8	28				
Household Economic Status								
Bottom two wealth quintiles	39	18	4	19				
Middle wealth quintile	33	11	7	31				
Upper two wealth quintiles	19	7	22	45				

Table 1. Percentage of underweight and overweight among ever-married women (15–49 years) by background characteristics, BDHS 2007–2017

Possible reasons for this increase

A recent *Lancet* paper⁵ shows that many countries of the developing world are undergoing similar double burdens of malnutrition, a phenomenon known as "the new nutrition reality." While economic revolution⁶ has been crucial for reducing undernutrition, it has also fueled an increase in overnutrition. Other notable reasons for this increase are unplanned urbanization, city migration, income growth,⁷ and infrastructure improvements.^{8,9}

In a cohort study in China,¹⁰ increases in becoming overweight/obese were significantly associated with reduced physical activity¹¹ due to modern technology arriving in workplaces and homes (e.g., rice cookers, washing machines, refrigerators, etc.)^{12,13} and improved transportation systems.¹⁴ Furthermore, the increase in the number of women working outside the home¹⁵ and the value of their time at work has shaped the demand for ultra-processed foods that are ready to eat or heat.^{16,17,18} Marketing and sales of non-essential fast/junk foods and beverages, especially to children for snacking,¹⁹ are also increasing rapidly.²⁰ Commercial food production often uses hormones, fertilizers, and genetically modified foods²¹ that have also been associated with the rise in weight gain and obesity.^{22,23,24,25}

Why increased weight among women of reproductive age is a concern

Annually Bangladesh has 3.4 million births. Currently, 0.9 million of these births occur to overweight women, and 0.5 million births occur to underweight women. If the current trend in malnutrition continues, pregnancies/births among overweight women will increase.

Both forms of malnutrition pose a risk for maternal and child health. For example, underweight mothers are at risk of having anemia, antepartum/postpartum hemorrhage, and premature rupture of membranes. Additionally, maternal obesity²⁶ increases the risk of perinatal complications such as



gestational diabetes, gestational hypertension, and caesarean deliveries.²⁷ Maternal obesity also has implications for breastfeeding, with decreased rates of initiation and reduced breastfeeding durations.²⁸ In 2016 *The Lancet Diabetes and Endocrinology* published a series on maternal weight, highlighting the global burden of obesity in women, including obesity in pregnancy, and the impacts on women's health. All these have potentially serious implications for infant survival, growth, and development,²⁹ as well as intergenerational implications (Table 2).³⁰

Health risks for mothers	Maternal underweight	Maternal overweight/ obese	Health risks for child	Maternal underweight	Maternal overweight/ obese
Infertility	-	1	Perinatal mortality	\checkmark	\checkmark
Polycystic ovary syndrome	-	\checkmark	Stillbirth	-	\checkmark
Anemia	\checkmark	-	Congenital anomalies	\checkmark	\checkmark
Gestational diabetes	-	\checkmark	Low birth weight	\checkmark	-
Gestational hypertension	-	\checkmark	Fetal macrosomia	-	\checkmark
Antepartum/Postpartum hemorrhage	\checkmark	\checkmark	Retarded fetal growth	\checkmark	\checkmark
Pre-eclampsia/ Eclampsia	-	\checkmark	Low APGAR score	-	\checkmark
Premature rupture of membranes	\checkmark	-	Difficulties in breastfeeding	-	\checkmark
Cesarean delivery	\checkmark	\checkmark	Overweight/Obesity in later life	-	\checkmark
Pre-term birth	-	√			
Spontaneous miscarriage	-	\checkmark			

Table 2. Health risks for mother and child due to maternal weight

Along with all these health risks for both mother and child, the coexistence of two forms of malnutrition creates a new challenge: "dual-burden households."³¹ This is the paradoxical burden of households wherein one individual is overweight while another is underweight.³² This trend profoundly complicates nutritional interventions,³³ as interventions to reduce undernutrition often contradict overweight prevention initiatives and vice versa.

National policy response

Bangladesh National Strategy for Maternal Health 2019–2030: This 90-page comprehensive government-approved strategy³⁴ is the pivotal document for maternal health in Bangladesh and acts as the guiding principle for national maternal health responses. This document has eight (8) targets, focusing on family planning, ANC, delivery, PNC, MMR, and NMR. However, although this document acknowledges "overweight and obesity" as concerns, under the "persisting gap," it does not provide any clear action item for tackling these issues. Furthermore, for ever-married women of reproductive age, this national document largely focuses on the dangers of being underweight, aspect of *malnutrition* – with no clear policy directions on the growing number of pregnancies and births among women who are overweight/obese.



National Nutrition Policy 2015: This 10-page bilingual government gazette largely focuses on the undernutrition aspect of malnutrition, despite identifying "Reducing maternal overweight (BMI >23)" as an indicator for achieving optimal nutrition, with no further plan of action.

The Second National Plan of Action for Nutrition 2016–2025: This 120-page government-led comprehensive plan of action targets "the first 1000 days, adolescent girls, pregnant and lactating women, elderly population, physical, mental, and cognitive disabled." Though it seems it has covered the whole population, in reality, it misses a broad swath as it does not specify any activities for non-pregnant and non-lactating women of reproductive age. It also primarily focuses on "maternal underweight." Lastly, the document has outlined some activities for "prevention and control of obesity and non-communicable diseases NCDs," however it does not specify the target groups for each of these activities.

In conclusion, current policies concerning maternal health and nutrition are fragmentary and mostly cover the underweight issue. However, the preparatory activities of all three analyzed documents started before 2012–13, and the crossover between underweight and overweight took place sometime around 2012–13. Therefore, when the initial drafting of these national responses occurred, maternal undernutrition was predominant.

The way forward

The call of the hour is to revise the national policies addressing the double burden of malnutrition among women of reproductive age across pre-conception, pregnancy, and post-natal stages to ensure optimum maternal and child health. We need to acknowledge that; this double burden requires double-duty actions.³⁵ In 2017, the World Health Organization published a policy brief,³⁶ in line with the Global Nutrition Report 2018, outlining the potential candidates for achieving the double duty, and these are:

- **Track and address both forms of malnutrition from adolescence**³⁷: Adolescents, especially girls, remain vulnerable to both forms of malnutrition. Therefore, adopting healthy dietary habits and physical exercise during adolescence is important to address both underweight and overweight/obesity. This creates the opportunity to have a healthy and well-nourished population at pre-conception stage.
- **Targeted and segmented antenatal care programs**^{38,39,40}: Antenatal nutritional counselling provides adequate and accurate knowledge of which foods and in what quantities are optimal based on the maternal nutritional status during the first trimester. This can reduce gestational weight gain and subsequently protect against gestational diabetes/hypertension for the mother, ensure safe delivery, and prevent overweight/obesity later in life for the child.
- **Promote and protect appropriate Infant and Young Child Feeding (IYCF)**^{41,42,43}**:** This should include practical interventions to ensure exclusive breastfeeding in the first six months and beyond and protection and promotion of appropriate complementary feeding. Exclusive breastfeeding has dual benefits by providing optimal nutrition for the child and helping regulate maternal weight gain during the postpartum period. Also, proper complementary feeding helps break the intergenerational cycle of a double burden of malnutrition.



• **Regulate marketing of junk/packaged foods and beverages and provide healthy meals at schools**: Food marketing influences children's food preferences and diet-related behaviors and outcomes, with implications for obesity and diet-related noncommunicable diseases. Regulating this could help prevent overweight/obesity in early life and impact health at later stages.⁴⁴ Furthermore, providing healthy mid-day meals at schools^{45,46} has been found to be effective at increasing the availability and purchase of healthy food and decreasing the purchase of unhealthy food with the potential to impact health.

Special note

We adopted the global BMI cut-off points to assess the nutritional status of women. It defines a woman as overweight/obese if her BMI is ≥ 25 . However, WHO suggests BMI ≥ 23 to assess overweight/obesity for the Asian population. The latter definition would be the most appropriate for the Bangladeshi population though we used the global BMI cut-offs for better comparability from international perspectives. The analysis of overweight/obesity using the BMI ≥ 23 definition shows that the burden is much heavier (Figure 2).

Contributors

Shusmita Khan, Data for Impact, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Md. Moinuddin Haider, icddr,b

Kanta Jamil, IAP World Services

Karar Zunaid Ahsan, Gillings Public Health Leadership Program, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Gustavo Angeles, Data for Impact, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) for funding this technical brief. The authors also thank Ms. Gabriela Maria Escudero, Dr. Sian Curtis of Data for Impact, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. This policy brief was prepared using data from the Bangladesh Demographic and Health Surveys. The BDHSs are funded by USAID, and the Government of Bangladesh and implemented under the leadership of the National Institute of Population Research and Training (NIPORT), Government of Bangladesh.

For more information

D4I supports countries to realize the power of data as actionable evidence that can improve programs, policies, and—ultimately—health outcomes. We strengthen the technical and organizational capacity of local partners to collect, analyze, and use data to support their sustainable development. For more information, visit https://www.data4impactproject.org/

References

1 Khan SH, Talukder SH. *Nutrition transition in Bangladesh: is the country ready for this double burden*. Obes Rev. 2013;14:126–33. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.12100</u>.

2 National Institute of Population Research and Training - NIPORT/Bangladesh, Mitra and Associates/Bangladesh, and Macro International. 2009. *Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2007*. Dhaka, Bangladesh: National Institute of Population Research and Training, Mitra and Associates, and Macro International.

3 National Institute of Population Research and Training (NIPORT), and ICF. 2020. *Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2017-18*. Dhaka, Bangladesh, and Rockville, Maryland, USA: NIPORT and ICF.

4 United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division (2019). *World Population Prospects 2019: Highlights* (ST/ESA/SER.A/423).

5 Popkin BM, Corvalan C, Grummer-Strawn LM. *Dynamics of the double burden of malnutrition and the changing nutrition reality*. Lancet. 2020;395(10217):65–74. <u>https://doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(19)32497-3</u>

6 Horton R, Lo S. Nutrition: a quintessential sustainable development goal. Lancet 2013; 382: 371–72.

7 Bennett M. The world's food. Am J Agric Econ 1954; 36: 350-51.

8 Popkin BM. *The shift in stages of the nutrition transition in the developing world differs from past experiences!* Public Health Nutr 2002; 5: 205–14.

9 Tschirley D, Reardon T, Dolislager M, Snyder J. *The rise of a middle class in East and Southern Africa: Implications for food system transformation.* J Int Dev 2015; 27: 628–46.

10 Monda KL, Adair LS, Zhai F, Popkin BM. *Longitudinal relationships between occupational and domestic physical activity patterns and body weight in China*. Eur J Clin Nutr 2008; 62: 1318–25.

11 Ng SW, Popkin BM. *Time use and physical activity: a shift away from movement across the globe*. Obes Rev 2012; 13: 659–80.

12 Monda KL, Popkin BM. *Cluster analysis methods help to clarify the activity-BMI relationship of Chinese youth*. Obes Res 2005; 13: 1042–51.

13 Bell AC, Ge K, Popkin BM. *Weight gain and its predictors in Chinese adults*. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 2001; 25: 1079–86.

14 Bell AC, Ge K, Popkin BM. *The road to obesity or the path to prevention: motorized transportation and obesity in China*. Obes Res 2002; 10: 277–83.

15 Novta N, Wong J. *Women at work in Latin America and the Caribbean. 2017.* <u>https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WP/Issues/2017/02/14/Women-at-Work-in-Latin-America-and-the-Caribbean-44662</u>

16 Mincer J. Market prices, opportunity costs, and income effects. In: Christ CF, Friedman M, Goodman LA, et al, eds. *Measurement in economics: studies in mathematical economics and econometrics, in memory of Yehuda Grunfeld*. Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1963: 67–82.

17 Monteiro CA, Levy RB, Claro RM, de Castro IR, Cannon G. *Increasing consumption of ultra-processed foods and likely impact on human health: evidence from Brazil.* Public Health Nutr 2011; 14: 5–13.



18 Monteiro CA, Moubarac JC, Cannon G, Ng SW, Popkin B. *Ultra-processed products are becoming dominant in the global food system*. Obes Rev 2013; 14 (suppl 2): 21–28.

19 Pries AM, Filteau S, Ferguson EL. *Snack food and beverage consumption and young child nutrition in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review*. Matern Child Nutr 2019; 15 (suppl 4): e12729.

20 Huffman SL, Piwoz EG, Vosti SA, Dewey KG. *Babies, soft drinks and snacks: a concern in low- and middle-income countries?* Matern Child Nutr 2014; 10: 562–74.

21 Bolin, K. and Cawley, J. *Obesity and Diabetes: The Roles that Prices and Policies Play,* The Economics of Obesity (Advances in Health Economics and Health Services Research, Vol. 17), Emerald Group Publishing Limited, Bingley, pp. 113–128.

22 Reardon T, Timmer CP, Minten B. *Supermarket revolution in Asia and emerging development strategies to include small farmers*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2012; 109: 12332–37.

23 Reardon T, Berdegué JA. *The rapid rise of supermarkets in Latin America: challenges and opportunities for development*. Dev Policy Rev 2002; 20: 371–88.

24 Reardon T, Timmer CP, Barrett CB, Berdegue JA. *The rise of supermarkets in Africa, Asia, and Latin America*. Am J Agric Econ 2003; 85: 1140–46.

25 Reardon T, Chen KZ, Minten B, et al. *The quiet revolution in Asia's rice value chains*. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2014; 1331: 106–18.

26 Grieger JA, Hutchesson MJ, Cooray SD, Bahri Khomami M, Zaman S, Segan L, Teede H, Moran LJ. *A review of maternal overweight and obesity and its impact on cardiometabolic outcomes during pregnancy and postpartum*. Ther Adv Reprod Health. 2021 Feb 4;15:2633494120986544. https://doi:10.1177/2633494120986544 . PMID: 33615227; PMCID: PMC7871058.

27 Chen C, Xu X, Yan Y. *Estimated global overweight and obesity burden in pregnant women based on panel data model*. PloS one. 2018;13(8):e0202183-e.

28 Amir LH, Donath S. *A systematic review of maternal obesity and breastfeeding intention, initiation and duration.* BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2007;7(1):9.

29 Poston L, Caleyachetty R, Cnattingius S, Corvalán C, Uauy R, Herring S, et al. *Preconceptional and maternal obesity: epidemiology and health consequences*. The Lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology. 2016;4(12):1025-36.

30 Kominiarek MA, Rajan P. *Nutrition recommendations in pregnancy and lactation*. Medical Clinics of North America. 2016;100(6):1199-215.

31 Doak CM, Adair LS, Monteiro C, Popkin BM. *Overweight and underweight coexist within households in Brazil, China and Russia.* J Nutr. 2000;130:2965–71.

32 Doak CM, Adair LS, Bentley M, Monteiro C, Popkin BM. *The dual burden household and the nutrition transition paradox*. Int J Obes (Lond) 2005;29:129–36.

33 Jehn M, Brewis A. *Paradoxical malnutrition in mother-child pairs: Untangling the phenomenon of over-and under-nutrition in underdeveloped economies.* Econ Hum Biol. 2009;7:28–35.

34 *Bangladesh National Strategy for Maternal Health 2019-2030*. July 2015. Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. Available at: <u>http://dgnm.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/dgnm.portal.gov.bd/page/18c15f9c_9267_44a7_ad2</u> <u>b_65affc9d43b3/2021-06-24-11-27-702ae9eea176d87572b7dbbf566e9262.pdf</u>



35 Hawkes C, Demaio AR, Branca F. *Double-duty actions for ending malnutrition within a decade*. Lancet Glob Health. 2017 Aug;5(8):e745-e746. <u>https://doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(17)30204-8</u>. Epub 2017 May 18. PMID: 28528865.

36 *WHO Double-duty actions*. Policy brief. World Health Organization, Geneva 2017 <u>http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/255414/1/WHO-NMH-NHD-17.2-eng.pdf</u> (accessed June 07, 2022).

37 *Global nutrition policy review: what does it take to scale up nutrition action?* Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013 (<u>http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstre am/10665/84408/1/9789241505529_eng.pdf</u>, accessed 2 February 2017).

38 *WHO recommendations on antenatal care for a positive pregnancy experience*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016 (<u>http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/2507 96/1/9789241549912-eng.pdf</u> ?ua=1, (accessed June 07, 2022).

39 World Health Organization. *Nutrition counselling during pregnancy*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017 (<u>http://www.who.int/elena/titles/nutrition_counselling_pregnancy/en/</u>), (accessed June 07, 2022).

40 Bhutta ZA, Das JK, Rizvi A, Gaffey MF, Walker N, Horton S et al. *Evidence-based interventions for improvement of maternal and child nutrition: what can be done and at what cost?* Lancet. 2013;382(9890):452–77. https://doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60996-4.

41 *Exclusive breastfeeding to reduce the risk of childhood overweight and obesity. Biological, behavioural and con- textual rationale.* Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014 (http://www.who.int/elena/titles/bbc/breastfeeding_childhood_obesity/en/. (accessed June 07, 2022).

42 World Health Organization. *Exclusive breastfeeding* (<u>http://www.who.int/elena/titles/</u><u>exclusive_breastfeeding/en/</u>. (accessed June 07, 2022).

43 Pearce J, Langley-Evans SC. *The types of food introduced during complementary feeding and obesity risk: a systematic review*. Int J Obes (Lond). 2013;37(4):477–85. doi:10.1038/ijo.2013.8.

44 Set of recommendations on the marketing of foods and non-alcoholic beverages to children. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2010 (<u>http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/44416/1/</u><u>9789241500210_eng.pdf</u>. (Accessed June 07, 2022).

45 *United Nations Decade of Action on Nutrition*. In: Seventieth session of the United Nations General Assembly, New York, 15–28 September 2015. Agenda item 15 (A70/L.42; <u>http://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/70/L.42</u>. (accessed June 07, 2022).

46 Niebylski ML, Lu T, Campbell NR, Arcand J, Schermel A, Hua D et al. *Healthy food procurement policies and their impact (a review)*. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2014;11(3):2608–27. https://doi:10.3390/ijerph110302608.

